

READINGS, GUIDES, TOOLS & RESOURCES

for

MEASUREMENT AND EVALUATION

of

PR AND STRATEGIC COMMUNICATION

TOP TEN 'MUST READS' ON EVALUATION OF PR AND COMMUNICATION

- AMEC (Association for Measurement and Evaluation of Communication). (2015). **Barcelona Principles 2.0**. London, UK. Retrieved from <http://amecorg.com/barcelona-principles-2-0>
- AMEC (Association for Measurement and Evaluation of Communication). (2017). **Integrated Evaluation Framework** [and online application and guide updated 2017]. London, UK. Available at <http://amecorg.com/amecframework>
- Better Evaluation. (2015). An international collaboration to improve evaluation practice. **Website resources**. Available at <http://betterevaluation.org> [general evaluation guidelines]
- Lindenmann, W. (1997/2003). **Guidelines for measuring the effectiveness of PR programs and activities**. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Public Relations. Available at http://www.instituteforpr.org/wp-content/uploads/2002_MeasuringPrograms.pdf
- Macnamara, J., & Likely, F. (2017). **Revisiting the disciplinary home of evaluation: New perspectives to inform PR evaluation standards**. *Research Journal of the Institute for Public Relations*, 3(2), 1–21. Available at <https://prjournal.instituteforpr.org/pr-journal-2017-present>
- Stacks, D., & Bowen, S. (Eds.). (2013). **Dictionary of public relations measurement and research**. Gainesville FL: Institute for Public Relations. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/topics/dictionary-of-public-relations-measurement-and-research>
- Watson, T., & Zerfass, A. (2012). ROI and PR evaluation: Avoiding 'smoke and mirrors'. International Public Relations Research Conference, Miami, FL. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/iprwp/wp-content/uploads/Watson-Zerfass-ROI-IPRRC-Miami-20121.pdf>
- Macnamara, J. (2018). **Evaluating public communication: New models, standards, and best practice**. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Trochim, W. (2006). **Evaluation research**. In *Research methods knowledge base*. Available at <http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/kb/evaluation.php>
- Bhattacharjee, A. (2012). **Social science research: Principles, methods, and practices**. Textbooks Collection. Book 3. Available free under Commons Licence at http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/oa_textbooks/3

* *The above list is subjective by the author, but based on the criteria of (1) selecting mostly recent texts and guides; (2) selecting texts and guides that are forward-looking rather than discussing problems or criticizing; (3) selecting mostly texts and guides that are available free online; and (4) including (lastly but not least in terms of importance) texts that offer a comprehensive guide.*

BIBLIOGRAPHY – EVALUATION OF COMMUNICATION AND PUBLIC RELATIONS

Academic Books

- Bauman, A., & Nutbeam, D. (2014). *Evaluation in a nutshell: A practical guide to the evaluation of health promotion programs* (2nd ed.). North Ryde, NSW: McGraw-Hill.
- Berger, A. (2014). *Media analysis techniques* (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- Blanchard, O. (2011). *Social media ROI: Managing and measuring social media efforts in your organization*. Indianapolis, IN: Que; Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Brody, E., & Stone, G. (1989). *Public relations research*. New York, NY: Praeger.
- Broom, G., & Dozier, D. (1990). *Using research in public relations: Applications to program management*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Daymon, C., & Holloway, I. (2011). *Qualitative research methods in public relations and marketing communications* (2nd ed.). Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Grunig, L., Grunig, J., & Dozier, D. (2002). *Excellent organizations and effective organizations: A study of communication management in three countries*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Juholin, E., & V. Luoma-aho, V. (Eds.). (2017). *Mittattava Viestintä [Measurable Communication]*. Helsinki, Finland: ProComma Academic.
- Li, C., & Stacks, D. (2015). *Measuring the impact of social media on business profit and success*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Macnamara, J. (2018). *Evaluating public communication: New models, standards, and best practice*. Abingdon, UK: Routledge. [published September 2017]
- Pavlik, J. (1987). *Public relations: What research tells us*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Stacks, D. (2011). *Primer of public relations research* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Guildford Press.
- Stacks, D., & Michaelson, D. (2010). *A practitioner's guide to public relations research, measurement, and evaluation*. New York, NY: Business Experts Press.
- van Ruler, B., Verčič, A., & Verčič, D. (Eds.). (2008). *Public relations metrics: Research and evaluation*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Watson, T., & Noble, P. (2014). *Evaluating Public Relations: A best practice guide to public relations planning, research and evaluation* (3rd ed.). London, UK: Kogan Page.

Academic Book Chapters

- Broom, G., & Macnamara, J. (2009). Evaluating the program. In G. Broom, *Cutlip & Center's effective public relations* (10th ed., pp. 349–376). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Devereaux Ferguson, S. (1999). Constructing a theoretical framework for evaluating public relations programs and activities. In M. Roloff (Ed.), *Communication yearbook 21* (pp. 191–229). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Ehling, W. (1992). Estimating the value of public relations and communication to an organization. In J. Grunig (Ed.), *Excellence in public relations and communication management* (pp. 617–638). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Gregory A., & White, J. (2008). Introducing the Chartered Institute of Public Relations initiative: Moving on from talking about evaluation to incorporating it into better management of the practice. In B. van Ruler, A. Verčič, & D. Verčič (Eds.), *Public relations metrics, research and evaluation* (pp. 307–317). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Grunig, J. (2008). Conceptualizing quantitative research in public relations. In B. van Ruler, A. Verčič, & D. Verčič (Eds.), *Public relations metrics, research and evaluation* (pp. 88–119). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Likely, F., & Watson, T. (2013). Measuring the edifice: Public relations measurement and evaluation practice over the course of 40 years. In K. Sriramesh, A. Zerfass, & J. Kim, (Eds.), *Public relations and*

- communication management: Current trends and emerging topics* (pp. 143–162). New York, NY: Routledge. Available at <http://eprints.bournemouth.ac.uk/20494/>
- Macnamara, J. (2002). Research and evaluation. In C. Tymson & P. Lazar, *The new Australian and New Zealand public relations manual* (21st Century ed., pp. 100–134). Sydney, NSW: Tymson Communications.
- Macnamara, J. (2017). Best practice measurement is about listening, learning, and adapting – not just changing others. In E. Juholin & V. Luoma-aho (Eds.), *Measurable communication [Mitattava viestintä]* (pp. 36–42). Helsinki, Finland: ProComma Academic.
- Macnamara, J. (2018, in press). New developments in best practice evaluation: Approaches, models, and methods. In V. Luoma-aho & M. Canel (Eds.), *Handbook of public sector communication*. London, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Macnamara, J. (2018, in press). Media content analysis: A non-intrusive method for gaining insights. In P. Napoli (Ed.), *Mediated Communication*. Berlin, Germany: de Gruyter.
- Stacks, D., & Watson, M. (2007). Two-way communication based on quantitative research and measurement. In E. Toth (Ed.), *The future of excellence in public relations and communication management: Challenges for the next generation* (pp. 67–84). Mahwah, NJ; Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Watson, T. (1996). New models for evaluating public relations practice. In B. Baerns & J. Klewes (Eds.), *Jahrbuch public relations* (pp. 50–62). Dusseldorf: Econ Verlag.
- Zerfass, A. (2008). Corporate communication revisited: Integrating business strategy and strategic communication. In A. Zerfass, B. Van Ruler, & K. Sriramesh (Eds.), *Public relations research: European and international perspectives and innovations* (pp. 65–96). Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Zerfass, A., van Ruler, B., & Sriramesh, K. (Eds.). (2008). *Public relations research: European and International Perspectives and innovations*. Wiesbaden, Germany: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften (Springer Science + Business Media).

Academic Articles and Refereed Conference Papers

- Atkin, C., & Freimuth, V. (2013). Guidelines for formative evaluation research in campaign design. In R. Rice & C. Atkin (Eds.), *Public communication campaigns* (4th ed., pp. 53–68). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Baskin, O., Hahn, J., Seaman, S., & Reines, D. (2010). Perceived effectiveness and implementation of public relations measurement and evaluation tools among European providers and consumers of PR services. *Public Relations Review*, 36, 105–111.
- Blei, D., Ng, A., & Jordan, M. (2003). Latent Dirichlet allocation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 3(4–5), 993–1022.
- Buhmann, A., Likely, F., & Geddes, D. (2018). Communication evaluation and measurement: connecting research to practice. *Journal of Communication Management*, 22(1), 113–119.
- Cacciatore, M., Meng, J., & Berger, B. (2016, March). Measuring the value of PR? An international investigation of how practitioners view the challenge and solutions. *Proceedings of the 19th International Public Relations Research Conference*. Miami, FL. Available at <https://www.iprrc.org/proceedings>
- Childers, L., & Grunig, J. (1999). Guidelines for measuring relationship in public relations. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Public Relations. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/measuring-relationships>
- Distaso, M., McCorkindale, T., & Wright, D. (2011). How public relations executives perceive and measure the impact of social media in their organizations. *Public Relations Review*, 37(3), 325–328. Available at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/251582796_How_public_relations_executives_perceive_and_measure_the_impact_of_social_media_in_their_organizations
- Donsbach, W., & Brade, A. (2011). Nothing is as practical as a good theory: What communication research can offer to the practice of political communication. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, 16(4), 508–522.
- Fairchild, M. (2006). Evaluating public relations: a best practice guide to public relations planning, research and evaluation. *Journal of Communication Management*, 10(1), 113–115. doi.org/10.1108/13632540210807125

- Fleisher, C., & Mahaffy, D. (1997). A balanced scorecard approach to public relations management assessment. *Public Relations Review*, 23(2), 117–123.
- Fombrun, C., Ponzi, L., & Newburry, W. (2015). Stakeholder tracking and analysis: The RepTrak system for measuring corporate reputation. *Corporate Reputation Review*, 18(1), 3–24.
- Gregory, A. (2001). Public relations and evaluation: Does the reality match the rhetoric. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 7, 171–189.
- Gregory, A., & Watson, T. (2008). Defining the gap between research and practice in public relations programme evaluation: Towards a new research agenda. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 24(5), 337–350.
- Lee, L., & Yoon, Y. (2010). Return on investment (ROI) of international public relations: A country-level analysis. *Public Relations Review*, 36(1), 15–20.
- Lindenmann, W. (1990). Research, evaluation and measurement: A national perspective. *Public Relations Review*, 16(2), 3–16.
- Lindenman, W. (1993). An 'effectiveness yardstick' to measure public relations success. *Public Relations Quarterly*, 38(1), 7–9.
- Lindenmann, W. (1998). Only PR outcomes count: That is the real bottom line. *Journal of Communication Management*, 3(1), 66–73.
- Macnamara, J. (2018). A review of new evaluation models for strategic communication: Progress and gaps. *International Journal of Strategic Communication*, 12(2), 180–195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1553118X.2018.1428978>
- Macnamara, J. (2015). Breaking the measurement and evaluation deadlock: A new approach and model. *Journal of Communication Management*, 19(4), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JCOM-04-2014-0020>
- Macnamara J. (2014). Emerging international standards for measurement and evaluation of public relations: A critical analysis. *Public Relations Inquiry*, 3(1), 7–28.
- Macnamara, J. (2005). Media content analysis: Its uses, benefits and best practice methodology. *Asia Pacific Public Relations Review*, 6(1), 1–34. Available at <http://amecorg.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/10/Media-Content-Analysis-Paper.pdf>
- Macnamara, J. (2014). The 'toe bone to the head bone' logic model to connect PR and corporate communication to organization outcomes. *PRism*, 11(1), 1–15. Available at <http://www.prismjournal.org/homepage.html>
- Macnamara, J. (1992). Evaluation of public relations: The Achilles Heel of the public relations profession. *International Public Relations Review*, 15(2), 19–25.
- Macnamara, J., & Gregory, A. (2018). Expanding evaluation to enable true strategic communication: Beyond message tracking to open listening. *International Journal of Strategic Communication*, 12(3). Available at <https://doi.org/10.1080/1553118X.2018.1450255>
- Macnamara, J., & Likely, F. (2017). Revisiting the disciplinary home of evaluation: New perspectives to inform PR evaluation standards. *Research Journal of the Institute for Public Relations*, 2(2), 1–21. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/research-journal>
- Macnamara, J., & Zeffass, A. (2017). Evaluation stasis continues in PR and corporate communication: Asia Pacific insights into causes. *Communication Research & Practice*. Available at doi.org/10.1080/22041451.2017.1275258
- Meng J., & Berger, B. (2012). Measuring return on investment (ROI) organizations' internal communication effort. *Journal of Communication Management*, 16(4), 332–54.
- Michaelson, D., & Stacks, D. (2011). Standardization in public relations measurement and evaluation. *Public Relations Journal*, 5(2), 1–22.
- Michaelson, D., Wright, D., & Stacks, D. (2012). Evaluating efficacy in public relations/corporate communication programming: Towards establishing standards of campaign performance. *Public Relations Journal*, 6(5), 1–24. Available at <http://www.prsa.org/Intelligence/PRJournal/Vol6/No5/>
- Noble, P., & Watson, T. (1999). Applying a unified public relations evaluation model in a European context. Paper presented to Transnational Communication in Europe: Practice and Research International Congress, Berlin.

- Stacks, D., & Bowen, S. (2013). Toward the establishment of ethical standardization in public relations research, measurement and evaluation. *Public Relations Journal*, 7(3), 1–28. Available at http://www.prsa.org/intelligence/prjournal/documents/2013_stacksbowen.pdf [requires PRSA log in]
- Watson, T. (2005). ROI or evidence-based PR: The language of public relations evaluation. *PRism*, 3(1). Available at http://www.prismjournal.org/fileadmin/Praxis/Files/Journal_Files/Issue3/Watson.pdf
- Watson, T. (2008). Public relations research priorities: A Delphi study. *Journal of Communication Management*, 12(2), 104–123.
- Watson, T. (2012). The evolution of public relations measurement and evaluation. *Public Relations Review*, 38(3), 390–398.
- Watson, T., & Zerfass, A. (2011). Return on investment in public relations: A critique of concepts used by practitioners from communication and management sciences perspectives. *PRism*, 8(1), 1–14. Available at http://www.prismjournal.org/vol8_1.html
- Xavier, R., Patel, A., Johnston, K., Watson, T., & Simmons, P. (2005). Using evaluation techniques and performance claims to demonstrate public relations impact: An Australian perspective. *Public Relations Review*, 31(3), 417–424.
- Zerfass, A., Verčič, D., & Volk, S. (2017). Communication evaluation and measurement: Skills, practices and utilization in European organizations. *Corporate communication: An International Journal*, 22(1), 2–18.

Online Articles and Professional Papers

- Carroll, T., & Stacks, D. (2004). Bibliography of public relations measurement. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Public Relations. Available at http://www.instituteforpr.org/wp-content/uploads/PRM_Bibliography.pdf
- Childers, L., & Grunig, J. (1999). Guidelines for measuring relationship in public relations. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Public Relations. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/measuring-relationships/>
- Eisenmann, M., O’Neil, J., & Geddes, D. (2015). An examination of the validity, reliability and best practice related to the standards for traditional media. *Research Journal of the Institute for Public Relations*, 2(1), 1–29. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/examination-validity-reliability-best-practices-related-standards-traditional-media/>
- Henningsen, A., Traverse Healy, K., Gregory, A. Johannsen, A., Allison, R., Bozeat, N., & Domaradzki, L. (2014, August 1). *Measuring the European Commission’s communication: Technical and methodological report*. Available at http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/communication/about/evaluation/index_en.htm
- Huhn, J., Sass, J., & Storck, C. (2011). Communication controlling: How to maximize and demonstrate the value creation through communication. Berlin, Germany: German Public Relations Association (DPRG). Available at http://www.quadrige.eu/_files/downloads/2011-11-16_position-paper_com-controlling.pdf
- Jeffrey, A. (2015). How to measure social media: Linking PR activities to target audience effects. *The Measurement Standard*, January 10. Available at <http://www.themeasurementstandard.com/2015/01/measure-social-media-choosing-tools-benchmarking>
- Likely, F. (2012). Principles for the use of return on investment (ROI), Benefit-cost ratio (BCR) and cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA) financial metrics in a public relations/communication (PR/C) department. Paper presented to the 15th International Public Relations Research Conference, 8–10 March, Miami, FL. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/wp-content/uploads/15th-IPRR-Proceedings1.pdf>
- Likely, F., Rockland, D., & Weiner, M. (2006). Perspectives on the ROI of media relations publicity efforts. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Public Relations. Available at http://www.instituteforpr.org/research_single/perspectives_on_the_roi/
- Lindenmann, W. (1997). Using research to measure the effectiveness of PR programs. *Quirk’s Marketing Research*. Retrieved from <http://www.quirks.com/articles/a1997/19970204.aspx>
- Lindenmann, W. (1997). Guidelines and standards for measuring and evaluating PR effectiveness. *PR Pundit*. Available at <http://prpundit.com/pdf/prTools/MeasuringandEvaluatingPREffectiveness.pdf>

- Lindenmann, W. (2001). Research doesn't have to put you in the poorhouse. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Public Relations. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/topics/research-savings>
- Lindenmann, W. (1997/2003). Guidelines for measuring the effectiveness of PR programs and activities. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Public Relations. Retrieved http://www.instituteforpr.org/wp-content/uploads/2002_MeasuringPrograms.pdf. (Original work published 1997)
- Macnamara, J. (2013). The 'toe bone to the head bone' logic model: An approach to connect PR and corporate communication to organization and business outcomes. London, UK: Association for Measurement and Evaluation of Communication. Available at <http://amecorg.com/2013/05/toe-bone-to-the-head-bone-logic-model/>
- Macnamara, J. (2014). Breaking the PR measurement and evaluation deadlock: A new approach and model. Paper presented to the AMEC Summit on Measurement, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Available at <http://amecorg.com/downloads/amsterdam2014/Breaking-the-PR-Measurement-Deadlock-A-New-Approach-and-Model-Jim-Macnamara.pdf>
- McCorkindale, T., DiStaso, M. (2014). The state of social media research: Where are we now, where we were and what it means for public relations. *Research Journal of the Institute for Public Relations*, 1(1), 1–17. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/state-social-media-research-now-means-public-relations>
- Sinickas, A. (2003). Focus on behaviour change to prove ROI. *Strategic Communication Management*, 7(6), 12–13. Available at <http://www.sinicom.com/Sub%20Pages/pubs/articles/article58.pdf>
- Smith, B. (2008). Representing PR in the marketing mix: A study on public relations variables in marketing mix modelling. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Public Relations. Available at http://www.instituteforpr.org/wp-content/uploads/BG_SmithKetchum.pdf
- Solis, B. (2010). ROI: How to measure return on investment in social media. @Brian Solis, 22 February. Available at <http://www.briansolis.com/2010/02/roi-how-to-measure-return-on-investment-in-social-media>
- Thorson, K., Michaelson, D., Gee, E., Jiang, J., Lu, G., Luan, G., Weatherly, S., Pung, S., Qin, Y., & Xu, J. (2015). Joining the movement: Investigating standardization of measurement and evaluation within public relations. *Research Journal of the Institute for Public Relations*, 2(1), 1–25. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/tbd>
- Watson, T. (2013). ROE may be more accurate than ROI. *FiftyOneZeroOne*, 22 March. Available at <http://fiftyonezeroone.blogspot.com.au/2013/03/roe-may-be-more-accurate-than-roi.html>
- Watson, T., & Zeffass, A. (2012). ROI and PR evaluation: Avoiding 'smoke and mirrors'. International Public Relations Research Conference, Miami, FL. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/iprwp/wp-content/uploads/Watson-Zeffass-ROI-IPRR-Miami-20121.pdf>
- Weiner, M. (2013). Public relations research and measurement: Are CPMs a way forward? *Research Conversations*, 26 February. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Public Relations. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/2013/02/public-relations-research-and-measurement-are-cpms-a-way-forward/>
- Weiner, M., Arnorsdottir, L., Lang, R., & Smith, B. (2010). Isolating the effects of media-based public relations on Sales: Optimization through marketing mix modeling. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Public Relations. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/wp-content/uploads/OptMarketingMixModeling.pdf>

Professional Books and Handbooks

- CyberAlert and Paine Publishing. (2015). *The 2015 PR measurement handbook*. Available at <http://www.cyberalert.com/measurement-handbook-download-form.html?homepage>
- Fairchild, M. (1997). *How to get real value from public relations*. London, UK: ICO.
- Macnamara, J. (2005). *Jim Macnamara's public relations handbook* (5th ed.). Sydney, NSW: Archipelago Press.
- Paine, K. (2007). *Measuring public relationships: The data-driven communicator's guide to success*. Berlin, NH: KD Paine & Partners.

- Paine, K. (2011). *Measure what matters: Online tools for understanding customers, social media, engagement, and key relationships*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Powell, G., Groves, S., & Dimos, J. (2011). *ROI of social media: How to improve the return on your social marketing investment*. Singapore: John Wiley & Sons.
- Sheldrake, P. (2011). *The business of influence: Reframing marketing and PR for the digital age*. London, UK: Wiley.
- Weiner, M. (2006). *Unleashing the power of PR: A contrarian's guide to marketing and communication*. San Francisco, CA: John Wiley & Sons.

Guides, Manuals and Toolkits

- AMEC (Association for Measurement and Evaluation of Communication). (2015). Barcelona Principles 2.0. London, UK. Retrieved from <http://amecorg.com/barcelona-principles-2-0>
- AMEC (Association for Measurement and Evaluation of Communication). (2016b). Integrated Evaluation Framework [and online application and guide]. London, UK. Available at <http://amecorg.com/amecframework>
- Asibey, E., Parras, T., & van Fleet, J. (2008). *Are we there yet? A communications evaluation guide* [particularly designed for non-profits]. Naperville, IL: Communications Network and Asibey Consulting. Available at <http://www.luminafoundation.org/files/resources/arewethereyet.pdf>
- Benoit, J., & Nulty, P. (2016). Quanteda: Quantitative analysis of textual data. Available at <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/quanteda/README.html>
- Communication Controlling. (2015). Berlin and Leipzig, Germany: German Public Relations Association (DPRG) and University of Leipzig. Web resources. Available at <http://www.communicationcontrolling.de/index.php?id=10&L=3>
- DPRG/ICV (Deutsche Public Relations Gesellschaft and International Controller Verein). (2009). DPRG/ICV framework for communication controlling. Available at <http://www.communicationcontrolling.de/en/positions/framework.html>
- European Commission). (2015). Toolkit for the evaluation of communication activities. Brussels, Belgium. Retrieved from http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/communication/about/evaluation/documents/communication-evaluation-toolkit_en.pdf
- European Commission). (2015). Better regulation guidelines. Brussels, Belgium. Retrieved from http://ec.europa.eu/smart-regulation/guidelines/toc_guide_en.htm
- Fairchild, M. (2001). *The IPR toolkit: Planning, research and evaluation for public relations success* (2nd ed.). London, UK: Institute of Public Relations (now CIPR).
- Fairchild, F., & O'Conner, N. (1999). *The public relations research and evaluation toolkit: How to measure the effectiveness of PR*. London, UK: Institute of Public Relations (now CIPR).
- Government Communication Service. (2015). Evaluation Framework. London, UK: Cabinet Office. Available at <https://gcs.civilservice.gov.uk/guidance/evaluation/tools-and-resources>
- IPRA (International Public Relations Association). (1994). *Public relations evaluation: Professional accountability*, Gold Paper No. 11, November. Geneva, Switzerland.
- Lindenman, W. (1997). Guidelines for measuring and evaluating PR effectiveness. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Public Relations.
- Lindenmann, W. (2003). Guidelines for measuring the effectiveness of PR programs and activities. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Public Relations. Available at http://www.instituteforpr.org/wp-content/uploads/2002_MeasuringPrograms.pdf. (Revised version of original work 1997)
- Lindenmann, W. (2006). Public relations research for planning and evaluation. Resource booklet. Gainesville, FL: Institute for Public Relations. Available at http://www.instituteforpr.org/wp-content/uploads/2006_Planning_Eval.pdf
- Public Relations Institute of Australia. (2017). PRIA Measurement and Evaluation Framework. Available at <https://www.pria.com.au/resources/measurement-evaluation>

Public Relations Institute of Australia. (2017). PRIA Model and Implementation Matrix. Available at <https://www.pria.com.au/documents/item/7085>

Public Relations Society of America. (2014). Measurement resources. Available at <http://www.prsa.org/intelligence/businesscase/measurementresources>

Stacks, D., & Bowen, S. (Eds.). (2013). *Dictionary of public relations measurement and research*. Gainesville FL: Institute for Public Relations. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/topics/dictionary-of-public-relations-measurement-and-research>

Research Reports

Macnamara, J. (2014). The development of international standards for measurement and evaluation of public relations and corporate communication: A review. Sydney, NSW: Australian Centre for Public Communication, University of Technology Sydney. Available at <https://www.uts.edu.au/sites/default/files/acpc-pr-measurement-and-evaluation-review.pdf>

Macnamara, J., Lwin, M., Adi, A., & Zerfass, A. (2017). *Asia-Pacific Communication Monitor 2017/18: Strategic challenges, social media and professional competencies – Results of a survey in 22 countries'*, Asia-Pacific Association of Communication Directors, Hong Kong. Retrieved from <http://www.communicationmonitor.asia>

Moreno, A., Molleda, J., Athaydes, A. & Suarez, A. (2017). *Latin American Communication Monitor 2016-2017. Tendencias en comunicación estratégica: big data, automatización, engagement, influencers, coaching y competencias. Resultados de una encuesta en 17 países*. Madrid, Spain: DIRCOM/EUPRERA.

Wright, D., Gaunt, R., Leggetter, B., Daniels, M., & Zerfass, A. (2009). Global survey of communications measurement 2009 – final report. London, UK: Association for Measurement and Evaluation of Communication. Available at http://amecorg.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/08/Global-Survey-Communications_Measurement-20091.pdf

Zerfass, A., Verčič, D., Verhoeven, P., Moreno, A., & Tench, R. (2015). *European communication monitor 2015 – Creating communication value through listening, messaging, and measurement: Results of a survey in 41 countries*. Brussels, Belgium: European Association for Communication Directors (EACD) and European Public Relations Education and Research Association (EUPRERA) in association with Helios Media, Berlin. Available at <http://www.communicationmonitor.eu>

Zerfass, A., Moreno, A., Tench, R., Verčič, D., & Verhoeven, P. (2017). *European communication monitor 2017 – How strategic communication deals with challenges, social bots, and hypermodernity: Results of a survey in 50 countries*. Brussels, Belgium: European Association for Communication Directors (EACD) and European Public Relations Education and Research Association (EUPRERA) in association with Quadriga Media, Berlin. Available at <http://www.communicationmonitor.eu>

Other Resources for PR and Communication Evaluation

Geddes, D., Grunig, J., Likely, F., Lindenmann, W., Macleod, S., Macnamara, J. Mathes, R., Paine, K., Rockland, D., Stacks, D., & Watson, T. (2010). Thought leaders in PR measurement. Available at <http://www.communicationcontrolling.de/en/resources/interviews/thought-leaders.html>

Institute for Public Relations Measurement Commission. (2015). Research and conversations. Available at <http://www.instituteforpr.org/center/ipr-measurement-center/>

Melcrum. (2015). Measurement and evaluation. Web site resources for internal communication. Available at <https://www.melcrum.com/internal-communication-measurement-evaluation>

Paine Publishing. (n.d.). K. Paine (Publisher). Available at <http://painepublishing.com/>

Public Relations Society of America and American Statistical Association. (2011). Best Practices Guide for Use of Statistics in Public Relations. Available at <http://amecorg.com/white-papers-and-reports/>

Saliency Insight and News International. (n.d.). B. Paarlberg (Ed.), *The Measurement Standard*. Available at <http://www.themeasurementstandard.com/>

EVALUATION LITERATURE IN OTHER DISCIPLINES

Behavioural Economics / Behavioural Insights:

- Behavioural Insights. (2015). [Web site]. London, UK. Available at <http://www.behaviouralinsights.co.uk>
- Psychology Today. (2015). [Web site]. Available at <https://www.psychologytoday.com/topics/behavioral-economics>
- Samson, A., (Ed.). (2014). *The behavioral economics guide 2014*. Available at <http://www.behavioraleconomics.com/BEGuide2014.pdf>
- Thaler, R., & Sunstein, C. (2008). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press

Health Communication / Health Promotion:

- Bauman, A., & Nutbeam, D. (2014). *Evaluation in a nutshell: A practical guide to the evaluation of health promotion programs* (2nd ed.). North Ryde, NSW: McGraw-Hill.
- Hornik, R. (Ed.). (2002). *Public health communication: Evidence for behavior change*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Kreps, G. (2014). Evaluating health communication programs to enhance health care and health promotion. *Journal of Health Communication: International Perspectives*, 19(12), 1449–1459.
- O'Connor-Fleming, M., Parker, E., Higgins, H., & Gould, T. (2006). A framework for evaluating health promotion programs. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia*, 17(1), 61–66. Available at <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/9440/1/9440.pdf>
- Rice, R., & Atkin, C. (Eds.). (2013). *Public communication campaigns* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Shiavo, R. (2014). Planning, implementing, and evaluating a health communication intervention. In *Health communication: From theory to practice* (2nd ed., Part 3). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Program Theory and Theory of Change:

- Anderson, A. (2005). *The community builder's approach to theory of change: A practical guide to theory and development*. New York, NY: The Aspen Institute Roundtable on Community Change.
- Chen, H. (1990). *Theory Driven Evaluations*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Chen, H., & Rossi, P. (1983). Evaluating with sense: The theory-driven approach. *Evaluation Review*, 7, 283–302.
- Clark, H. (2004). *Deciding the scope of a theory of change*. New York, NY: ActKnowledge.
- Clark, H., & Taplin, D. (2012). *Theory of change basics: A primer on theory of change*. New York, NY: Actknowledge.
- Milstein, B., & Chapel, T. (2014). Developing a logic model or theory of change. Community Tool Box. Lawrence, KS: University of Kansas Work Group for Community Health and Development. Available at <http://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents/overview/models-for-community-health-and-development/logic-model-development/main>
- Owen, J. (2006). *Program evaluation: Forms and approaches* (3rd ed.). Crows Nest, NSW: Allen & Unwin.
- Rossi, P., Lipsey, M., & Freeman, H. (2004). *Evaluation: A systematic approach* (7th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Wholey, J. (1987). Evaluability assessment: Developing program theory. *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, 33, 77–92.

Program Evaluation: (See also 'Program Logic Models')

- Barrett, F. (2013). *Program evaluation: A step-by-step guide*. Urbana, IL: Sunnycrest.

- Boulmetis, J., & Dutwin, P. (2005). *The ABCs of evaluation: Timeless techniques for program and project managers*. San Francisco, CA: Wiley.
- Owen, J. (2006). *Program evaluation: Forms and approaches* (3rd ed.). Crows Nest, NSW: Allen & Unwin.
- Rossi, P., Freeman, H., & Lipsey, M. (2004). *Evaluation. A systematic approach* (7th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Weiss, C. (1972). *Evaluative research: Methods of assessing program effectiveness*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Wholey, J. (1983). *Evaluation and effective public management*. Boston, MA: Little, Brown.
- Wholey J., Hatry, H., & Newcomer, K. (Eds.). (2010). *Handbook of practical program evaluation* (3rd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Yarbrough, B., Lyn, M., Shulha, H., Rodney K., & Caruthers, A. (2011). *The program evaluation standards: A guide for evaluators and evaluation Users* (3rd ed.). Sage.

Program Logic Models:

- Fournier, D. (1995). Establishing evaluation conclusions: A distinction between general and working logic. *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, 68, 15–32.
- Henert, E., & Taylor-Power, E. (2008). *Developing a logic model: Teaching and training guide*. Available at <http://www.uwex.edu/ces/pdande/evaluation/pdf/lmguidecomplete.pdf>
- Julian, D. (1997). The utilization of the logic model as a system level planning and evaluation device. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 20(3), 251–257.
- Kellogg Foundation. (2004). *Logic model development guide*. Battle Creek, MI. Available at <http://www.epa.gov/evaluate/pdf/eval-guides/logic-model-development-guide.pdf>
- Knowlton, L. & Phillips, C. (2013). *The logic model guidebook: Better strategies for great results* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- McLaughlin, J., & Jordan, J. (1999). Logic models: a tool for telling your program's performance story. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 22(1), 65–72.
- Milstein, B., & Chapel, T. (2014). Developing a logic model or theory of change. Community Tool Box. Lawrence, KS: University of Kansas Work Group for Community Health and Development. Available at <http://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents/overview/models-for-community-health-and-development/logic-model-development/main>
- Rush, B., & Ogbourne A. (1991). Program logic models: Expanding their role and structure for program planning and evaluation. *Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation*, 6(2), 95–106.

Performance Management:

- Better Evaluation. (2015). An international collaboration to improve evaluation practice. Website resources. Available at <http://betterevaluation.org>
- Boardman, E., Greenberg, D., Vining, A., & Weimer, D. (2010). *Cost-benefit analysis concepts and practice* (4th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization. (2014). How CSIRO ensures it delivers impact. Sydney, NSW. Available at <http://www.csiro.au/en/About/Strategy-structure/Our-impact-framework>
- International Integrated Reporting Council. (2013). International Integrated Reporting Framework. London, UK. Available at <http://www.theiirc.org/international-ir-framework>
- Kaplan, R., & Norton, D. (1992, January-February). The balanced scorecard: Measures that drive performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 70(1), 71–79.
- Layard, R., & Glaister, S. (1994). *Cost-benefit analysis* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Levin, H., & McEwan, P. (2001). *Cost-effectiveness analysis: Methods and applications*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Love, A. (1991). *Internal evaluation: Building organizations from within*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Mishan, E., & Quah, E. (2007). *Cost-benefit analysis* (5th ed.). Milton Park, UK: Routledge.
Walsh, C. (2008). *Key management ratios* (4th ed.). Harlow, UK: Prentice Hall/Financial Times.

Marketing:

Content Marketing Framework: Measurement. (n.d.). Content Marketing Institute. Available at <http://contentmarketinginstitute.com/measurement/>
Farris, P., Bendle, N., Pfeifer, P., & Reibstein, D. (2010). *Marketing metrics: The definitive guide to measuring marketing performance* (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.

Nudge Marketing:

See 'Behavioural Economics/Insights'

Psychology/Social Psychology:

McGuire, W. (1985). Attitudes and attitude change. In G. Lindzey & E. Aronson (Eds.), *Handbook of social psychology* (2nd ed., Vol. 3, pp. 136-314). Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
McGuire, W. J. (2001). Input and output variables currently promising for constructing persuasive communications. In R. Rice & C. Atkin (Eds.), *Public communication campaigns* (3rd ed., pp. 22–48). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

See also 'Engagement' and 'Behavioural Economics/Insights'

Engagement:

Macey, W., & Schneider, B. (2008). The meaning of employee engagement. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 1*, 3–30.
Meyer, J., & Smith, C. (2000). HRM practices and organisational commitment: A test of a mediation model. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Services, 17*, 319–331.
Rhoades, L., Eisenberger, R., & Armeli, S. (2001). Affective commitment to the organization: The contribution of perceived organizational support. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 86*, 825–836. Available http://www.psychology.uh.edu/faculty/Eisenberger/files/04_Affective_Commitment_to_the_Organization.pdf

General Evaluation Resources:

American Evaluation Society – www.eval.org
Australasian Evaluation Society – www.aes.asn.au
Harvard Family Research Project, *The Evaluation Exchange* – www.gse.harvard.edu/hfrp/eval.html
Trochim, W. (2006). Evaluation research. In *Research methods knowledge base*. Available at <http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/kb/evaluation.php>
United Kingdom Evaluation Society – <http://www.evaluation.org.uk>

ADVERTISING, MEDIA AND COMMUNICATION RESEARCH BOOKS

Berger, A. (2000). *Media and Communication Research Methods: An Introduction to Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
Berger, A. (2014). *Media Analysis Techniques* (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
Deacon, D., Pickering, M., Golding, P., & Murdoch, G. (2007). *Researching Communications: A Practical Guide to Methods in Media and Cultural Analysis* (2nd ed.). London, UK: Bloomsbury.

- Frey, L., Botan, C., & Kreps, G. (2000). *Investigating Communication: An Introduction to Research Methods* (2nd ed.). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon/Pearson.
- Jensen, K. (2012). *A Handbook of Media and Communication Research* (2nd ed.). Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Krippendorff, K., & Bock, M. (2009). *The Content Analysis Reader*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Lievrouw, L. (Ed.). (2014). *Challenging Communication Research*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- McKee, A. (2003). *Textual Analysis: A Beginner's Guide*. London, UK: Sage.
- Morrison, M., Haley, E., Sheehan, K., & Taylor, R. (2002). *Using Qualitative Research in Advertising: Strategies, Techniques, and Applications*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Neuendorf, K. (2002). *The Content Analysis Guidebook*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Priest, S. (2010). *Doing Media Research: An Introduction* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Riffe, D., Lacy, S., & Fico, F. (2005). *Analyzing Media Messages: Using Quantitative Content Analysis in Research* (2nd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Rubin, R., Rubin, A., & Piele, L. (2005). *Communication Research: Strategies and Sources* (6th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Treadwell, D. (2011). *Introducing Communication Research: Paths of Inquiry*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Weerakkody, N. (2009). *Research Methods for Media and Communication*. South Melbourne, Victoria, Australia: Oxford University Press.
- Wimmer, R., & Dominick, J. (2011). *Mass Media Research: An Introduction* (9th ed.). Boston, MA: Cengage.
- Young, C. (2005). *The Advertising Research Handbook*. Seattle, Washington: Ad Essentials.

SOCIAL RESEARCH BOOKS

- Berg, B. (2007). *Qualitative research methods for the social sciences* (6th ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon
- Bhattacharjee, A. (2012). *Social Science Research: Principles, Methods, and Practices*. Textbooks Collection. Book 3. Available free under Commons Licence at http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/oa_textbooks/3
- Bryman, A. (2012). *Social Research Methods* (4th ed.). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Creswell, J. (2009). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Creswell, J., & Plano Clark, V. (2007). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Denzin, N., & Lincoln, Y. (2013). *Strategies of Qualitative Inquiry* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Grbich, C. (2004). *New Approaches in Social Research*. London, UK: Sage.
- Miles, M., & Huberman, A. (1994). *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Sourcebook of Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. [particularly useful for qualitative sampling]
- Neuman, W. (2013). *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches* (7th ed.). New York, NY: Pearson.
- Patton, M. (1990). *Qualitative Evaluation and Research Methods*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Punch, K. (1998/2003). *Introduction to Social Research: Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches*. London, UK: Sage.
- Silverman, D. (2010). *Doing Qualitative Research: A Practical Handbook* (3rd ed.). London, UK: Sage.
- Stake, R. (2008). Qualitative case studies. In N. Denzin & Y. Lincoln (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* (3rd ed., pp. 119–149). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (2003). *Handbook of Mixed Methods in Social and Behavioral Research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yin, R. (2009). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

ONLINE GUIDES ON METHODS AND METRICS

Method/Topic	Source	Link/Reference
Balanced Scorecard	Fleisher & Mahaffy (1997)	http://down.cenet.org.cn/upfile/47/200610312249117.pdf
	Kaplan & Norton (1992)	https://hbr.org/2005/07/the-balanced-scorecard-measures-that-drive-performance (requires subscription)
Behavioural economics	Samson (2014)	http://www.behavioraleconomics.com/BEGuide2014.pdf
	Psychology Today	https://www.psychologytoday.com/topics/behavioral-economics
Behavioural insights	Behavioural Insights	http://www.behaviouralinsights.co.uk
	Thaler & Sunstein (2008)	See also 'Nudge marketing'
Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)	Likely (2012)	http://www.instituteforpr.org/wp-content/uploads/15th-IPRR-Proceedings1.pdf
Communication Controlling	Zerfass (2010)	http://www.instituteforpr.org/wp-content/uploads/IPRR_13_Proceedings.pdf
		http://www.communicationcontrolling.de/en/resources
	Huhn, Sass, & Storck (2011)	http://www.quadrige.eu/_files/downloads/2011-11-16_position-paper_com-controlling.pdf
Communication Performance Management	Zerfass (2010)	See 'Communication Controlling'
Communication Scorecard	Communication Controlling	http://www.communicationcontrolling.de/en/methods/value-creation/scorecardsstrategy-maps.html
Content Analysis	Macnamara (2005)	http://amecorg.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/10/Media-Content-Analysis-Paper.pdf
	Neuendorf (2002)	http://academic.csuohio.edu/neuendorf_ka/content/
	Krippendorff & Bock (2009)	
Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA)	Likely (2012)	http://www.instituteforpr.org/wp-content/uploads/15th-IPRR-Proceedings1.pdf
Cost Effectiveness Analysis (CEA)	Likely (2012)	http://www.instituteforpr.org/wp-content/uploads/15th-IPRR-Proceedings1.pdf
	Likely & Watson (2013)	http://eprints.bournemouth.ac.uk/20494/
Cost Per Thousand (CPM)	Weiner (2013)	http://www.instituteforpr.org/2013/02/public-relations-research-and-measurement-are-cpms-a-way-forward/
Engagement	Macnamara (2014)	https://www.uts.edu.au/sites/default/files/acpc-pr-measurement-and-evaluation-review.pdf
Impressions	Stacks & Bowen (2013)	http://www.instituteforpr.org/topics/dictionary-of-public-relations-measurement-and-research/
Integrated Reporting Framework (IRF)	International Integrated Reporting Council	http://www.theiirc.org/international-ir-framework
Internal communication	Melcrum	https://www.melcrum.com/internal-communication-measurement-evaluation

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)	Advanced Performance Institute	http://www.ap-institute.com/what-is-a-key-performance-indicator.aspx
	Klipfolio KPI Examples and Templates	http://www.klipfolio.com/resources/kpi-examples
Logic Models	University of Wisconsin – Program Development and Evaluation	http://www.uwex.edu/ces/pdande/evaluation/evallogicmodel.html
	Kellogg Foundation Logic Model Development Guide	https://www.wkcf.org/resource-directory/resource/2006/02/wk-kellogg-foundation-logic-model-development-guide
	Kellogg Foundation (2004)	http://www.epa.gov/evaluate/pdf/eval-guides/logic-model-development-guide.pdf
	Community Toolbox	http://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents/overview/models-for-community-health-and-development/logic-model-development/main
	Milstein & Chapel (2014)	As above
	Knowlton & Phillips (2013)	http://www.sagepub.com/upm-data/23938_Chapter_3___Creating_Program_Logic_Models.pdf
	Macnamara (2013)	http://amecorg.com/2013/05/toe-bone-to-the-head-bone-logic-model/
Market Mix Modelling	Marketing Management Analytics	http://mma.com/expertise/marketing-mix-modeling-roi/
	Weiner, Arnorsdottir, Lang & Smith (2010)	http://www.instituteforpr.org/wp-content/uploads/OptMarketingMixModeling.pdf
Nudge marketing	Thaler & Sunstein (2008)	http://nudges.org/ (See also ‘Behavioural economics’)
Reach	Stacks & Bowen (2013)	http://www.instituteforpr.org/topics/dictionary-of-public-relations-measurement-and-research/
Return on Investment (ROI)	Watson & Zerfass (2011)	http://www.instituteforpr.org/iprwp/wp-content/uploads/Watson-Zerfass-ROI-IPRRC-Miami-20121.pdf
	Watson & Zerfass (2012)	http://www.prismjournal.org/vol8_1.html
	Watson (2005)	http://www.prismjournal.org/fileadmin/Praxis/Files/Journal_Files/Issue3/Watson.pdf
	Watson (2013)	http://fiftyonezeroone.blogspot.com.au/2013/03/roe-may-be-more-accurate-than-roi.html
	Macnamara (2014)	https://www.uts.edu.au/sites/default/files/acpc-pr-measurement-and-evaluation-review.pdf

Compiled by:

Distinguished Professor Jim Macnamara PhD, FAMEC, FAMI, CPM, FPRIA

University of Technology Sydney

Visiting Professor, The London School of Economics and Political Science, Media & Communications Dept.